



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

applied, while broad statements of very general rules of law serve to make its form that of a text-book rather than that of a digest. Naturally, when such a method has been adopted, the success of the work depends very largely upon the accuracy of the statements of the decisions and upon the arrangement of the subject-matter. In no other way than by actual use can this accuracy be fairly tested. If the lawyer is able to turn quickly to the line of cases which he has in mind and finds them reported with exactness, it will be a very useful manual to him, and the fact that the book has found a place for itself shows that the author has done his work well. Such a book, however, can be of very little assistance to students and this second edition makes it no more so. Indeed the second preface addresses itself to the lawyer whose client is not willing to wait until he gets an education on the various subjects which are treated. Such a lawyer will certainly not disappoint his client if he uses Mr. Spelling's book.

Very few changes have been made in this second edition. Some late cases seem to have been added, but not enough to add appreciably to the value of the book. The author, in the preface, speaks of recent important extensions of the jurisdiction to grant the writ of injunction, but a comparison of the two editions give little evidence of any such extensions since the first edition. Still fewer important changes can be found in the extraordinary remedies at law. The total lack of discriminating comment upon such recent extensions as are noted makes whatever added value there may be seem very slight, and there is little danger that it will wholly supplant the first edition.

A very sensible feature of this new edition, however, is that the section numbering of the first edition has been preserved. This makes it unnecessary to state the edition from which a citation is made.

A BRIEF ON THE MODES OF PROVING THE FACTS MOST FREQUENTLY IN ISSUE OR COLLATERALLY IN QUESTION ON THE TRIAL OF CIVIL OR CRIMINAL CASES. By Austin Abbott. Second and enlarged edition by the publishers' editorial staff. Rochester: The Lawyers' Coöperative Publishing Co. 1901. pp. xxii, 653. 8vo.

The author's task, outlined in the title, is really one of giving suggestions. Out of such points as his experience has shown to be of widest application he has constructed what must prove a ready manual for trial lawyers. The particular subjects of proof, arranged alphabetically, serve as chapter-headings, and the paragraphs of the text, stated first generally, are developed by summaries of cases in digest form averaging half a page in length.

The value of such a book may be fairly measured by the adequacy with which it treats important practical topics like presumptions, burden of proof, and judicial notice, both because its scope includes these more properly than does that of a treatise on evidence, and because the confusion which blurs them in practice should be removed by accurate text-book definition. In the first edition, however, nothing more was attempted than to state carefully each separate proposition which involved these subjects. The present editors often omit even this process of clarifying, and many pages, out of the larger share devoted in the new edition to these matters, are marred by the miscellaneous inaccuracies of reporters' head-notes. Little help, for example, can be found in the statement on page 19 that "It will be presumed that one who abandons land which he has been holding adversely held in subordination to the title of the true owner, but the burden of proving abandonment or interruption of adverse possession is upon the adverse party."

As an authority the book must now stand as if anonymous, for the editors have doubled the original size and generally failed to indicate which passages are theirs and which the author's. They call attention, however, on page 162, to a departure that they take from the latter's views, where they distinguish, for purposes of construction of writings, between patent and latent ambiguities. In this they are singularly retrogressive. See THAYER, *PRELIM. TREAT. EV.*, 422-425; *Meyers v. Maverick*, 28 S. W. Rep. 716 (Tex.). Except in the respects suggested, however, the original work seems to have been successfully expanded.